Miguel A. de Avillez Dieter Breitschwerdt Dept. Mathematics, University of Évora, Portugal ZAA, Technical University Berlin, Germany

THE IMPORTANCE OF THE ATOMIC HISTORY IN TIME-DEPENDENT SIMULATIONS OF THE INTERSTELLAR GAS Parallel AMR Coarse resolution = 8 pc Higher resolution = 0.5 pc Effective grids of 2000^3 cells Evolution time = 500 Myr Cut through the Galactic midplane



- → lonizing
- → Recombining
- → Cooling
- Turbulent mixing
- → Conductive interfaces
- → Particles acceleration (DSA)

---- FREE ELECTRONS DISTRIBUTION

→ Non-equilibrium plasmas
→ Thermal + Non-thermal
→ Feedback

LARGE RANGE OF SCALES TO CONSIDER





INTERNAL ENERGY & ADIABATIC PARAMETER



SIMULATIONS OF THE ISM WITH FIXED COOLING

- Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution
- → Temperature equilibration ions and electrons
- → Include a fixed cooling function (of a gas parcel)
- → Adiabatic parameter constant (5/3)
- → Internal energy includes only the thermal component

→ Neglect the feedback of dynamics







THERMAL + DYNAMICAL SIMULATIONS OF THE ISM



IONIZATION STRUCTURE + LEVEL POPULATIONS

$$\begin{split} \frac{dn_{Z,z}}{dt} &= I_{Z,z-1}n_{Z,z-1} - \left(I_{Z,z} + R_{Z,z}\right)n_{Z,z} + R_{Z,z+1}n_{Z,z+1} \\ R_{Z,z} &= n_e \left(\alpha_{Z,z}^{rr} + \alpha_{Z,z}^{dr}\right) + \sum_{\tilde{Z},\tilde{z}} \alpha_{\tilde{Z},\tilde{z}}^{ce} \tilde{n}_{\tilde{Z},\tilde{z}} \\ I_{Z,z} &= \zeta_{Z,z} + n_e \left(C_{Z,z}^{eii} + C_{Z,z}^{ea}\right) + \sum_{\tilde{Z},\tilde{z}} C_{\tilde{Z},z}^{ce} \tilde{n}_{\tilde{Z},\tilde{z}} \\ \zeta_{Z,z} &= \zeta_{Z,z}^{ph} + \zeta_{Z,z}^{c} + \zeta_{Z,z}^{pe} \\ \frac{dn_{Z,z,j}}{dt} &= \sum_{m < j} Exc_{m \rightarrow j} + \sum_{n > j} Dexc_{n \rightarrow j} - \sum_{j > m} Dexc_{j \rightarrow m} - \sum_{j < n} Exc_{j \rightarrow n} \\ \frac{dn_{Z,z,j}}{dt} &= \sum_{m < j} C_{mj}^{e,X} n_X n_{Z,z,m} + \sum_{n > j} \left(C_{nj}^{d,X} n_X + A_{nj}\right) n_{Z,z,n} \end{split}$$

 $-\sum_{j>m} \left(C^{d,X}_{_{jm}} n_{_X} + A_{_{jm}} \right) n_{_{Z,z,j}} - \sum_{j<n} C^{e,X}_{_{jn}} n_{_X} n_{_{Z,z,j}}$

8

PROCESSES + COMPUTING TIME

- → Non-equilibrium ionization: H, He, C, N, O, Ne, Mg, Si, S, Ar, Fe + H, C, O chemistry
- → 70-level ions
- → Abundances (solar and pre-solar)
- → Equal and/or different temperature for electrons and ions
- → Thermal and non-thermal particle distributions (e.g., kappa, n, cosmic rays)
- → Electron impact ionization (total and shell), inner-shell excitation auto-ionization
- → Radiative (RR) and dielectronic (DR) recombination followed by cascades
- → Charge-transfer reactions
- → Photoionisation + Auger photoelectrons + Secondaries
- → Continuum (bremsstrahlung, free-bound, two-photon)
- → Line (permitted, semi-forbidden, forbidden) emission
- → Rates calculated from cross sections on the fly
- → Excitation/deexcitation rates calculated from collision strengths (R-Matrix calculations)
- → Calculations are made in accelerators/coprocessors while CPUs are idle for 10⁻⁵ s
- → Parallel computing dynamical model in CPUs + thermal model in GPUs/Xeon Phi

- → 3D multi-fluid HD or MHD evolution over 500 Myr
- → Grid: 1 kpc × 1kpc × ± 15 kpc $\Delta x=0.25$ pc and 0.5 pc (4000³ cells per kpc³)
- → Density distribution from observations (Dickey & Lockman 91, Ferriere 98)
- → Star Formation based on thresholds: n > 100 cm⁻³ & T<100 K</p>
- → Formation (5% efficiency) from core fragmentation of MCs
- → IMF for stars with 7-60 solar masses (Fuchs et al. 2006)
- \rightarrow Trace motion of OB stars (v_{rms}~5 km/s) and main-sequence time evolution
- → SNe types lb+c and II: random + clustered (~60%) + yields
 - → Rate: 1.9×10^{-2} yr⁻¹ (Diehl et al. 2006; Cappellaro et al. 1999)

26.9 Kpc⁻² Myr⁻¹ in a Galactic disk with R=15 kpc

→ SNE type Ia randomly distributed with h_z =325 pc (Freeman 1987)

→ Rate: 4×10⁻³ yr⁻¹ (Cappellaro et al. 1999; see also Ferriere 2001) 5.7 Kpc.⁻² Myr⁻¹ in a Galactic disk with R=15 kpc

THERMAL + DYNAMICAL MODEL

- → Background heating due to diffuse UV photon field calculated from the stars
- → Galactic gravitational field by stars (Kuijken & Gilmore 1989) + self gravity
- → Heat conduction (Dalton & Balbus 1993)
- → Magnetic field (random + mean components 6 μ G)

HISTORY OF THE PLASMA





Avillez+Breitschwerdt (2012)

CAN WE TRUST THESE SIMULATIONS? ELECTRONS

- Jenkins (2013): FUSE observations of UV spectra of 44 hot subdwarf stars a few hundred parsecs away from the Sun
 - ✓ Compare column densities of ArI to those go OI
 - ✓ The measured deficiency [ArI/OI]=-0.427 below the expectation for a fully neutral medium
 - ✓ Implies that the electron density $n_e \sim 0.04$ cm⁻³ if n(H)=0.5 cm⁻³
- Dispersion measurements against pulsars of known distances (Berkhuijsen+ 2008; Gaensler+ 2008; Schnitzeler 2012, see also Avillez+2012):



CAN WE TRUST THESE SIMULATIONS? ELECTRONS



- → Cooling time < Recombination time of ions
- → Plasma keeps a record of its history Need to include all the ions
- → The ionization structure varies in space and time and depends on the ICs
- The cooling paths can be quite different for plasmas with the same initial temperature, but having different evolution histories;
- → Full blown simulations imply ten most abundant elements (112 ions), thermal and non-thermal electron/proton distributions, large database of cross sections and collision strengths.
- → CRs ISM simulations must include DSA and full calculation of ionisation, recombination and excitation/deexcitation rates using cross sections and the local electron/proton distribution function

HD/MHD/CR-MHD + ATOMIC/MOLECULAR PHYSICS

